



Detection Of Salmonella in Large Test Portions of Challenging Pet Food Products by Immunomagnetic Separation and qPCR

Lionel Meyer¹, François Le Nestour², Guillaume Mesnard²
(1) Millipore SAS, Molsheim, France, (2) Microsept, France.

Introduction

The detection of *Salmonella* contamination in pet food is one of the keys to contain animal and human outbreaks. In this context, the development of rapid tests allows the industry to stay competitive.

Purpose

The aim of this study is to evaluate the performance of alternative method Assurance® GDS combining immuno-magnetic separation (IMS) and qPCR for the analysis of large test portions (up to 375 g) of challenging pet food raw materials and finished products, comparing performance with the reference method ISO 6579-1:2017 and the validated 25 g sample size.

Methods

The design of an ISO 16140-2 validation study was performed on large test portions only (375 g) of food products using the Assurance® GDS *Salmonella* method (**Fig. 1**) as the alternative method and the ISO 6579-1 method as the reference method.



Figure 1. Assurance® GDS Method Workflow: 3-step workflow process; Pathogen screening kit: Assurance® GDS Salmonella (Cat. No. 71008-100)

For the alternative method Assurance® GDS, the minimal enrichment time of 18 hours was applied. Samples were confirmed after 2 hours of re-incubation of the broths by directly streaking on a C8-esterase chromogenic agar media.

Samples were analysed according to the requirements of the ISO 6887 standards. High fat content samples (>20%) were enriched in buffered peptone water (BPW) supplemented with Tween 80. Low pH samples (<3.0) were enriched in double-buffered peptone water (2X BPW, Cat. No. 107228).



Sensitivity study

Methods

A sensitivity study was performed on 24 samples contaminated with six different challenging strains of *Salmonella*: *S. arizonae*, *S. diarizonae*, *S. houtenae*, *S. bongori*, *S. salamae* and *Salmonella Poona*. Samples were contaminated by the ISO 16140-2 spiking protocol: a stressed suspension of target bacteria was inoculated in the sample prior to analysis. Thermal stress was applied on *Salmonella* strain suspensions (e.g., 4 to 10 min at 50 °C followed by 10 to 15 min at 10-20 °C). Samples were then inoculated between 1.2 and 5.0 colony-forming units (CFU) per test portion. All matrices were evaluated with and without a regrowth step for 2 hours in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth.

Results

This study showed equivalent results between methods (**Table 1**). The difference between negative deviations and positive deviations was equal to 2 for the protocol without BHI and to 1 for the protocol with BHI. In both cases, this difference was below the acceptability limits (AL = 3).

	Strain	Inoculation	Reference method ICO 6570					Assurance® GDS Salmonella spp								
Sample			Reference method ISO 6579					PCR test PCR test with BHI								
Sample	Strain	level		RVS		KTTn	Confirmation	Result	Result	Conf.	Result	Agreement	Result	Conf.	Result	Agreement
	Salmonella		XLD	C8-est.	XLD	C8-est.										
Liquid fat	enterica houtenae	3,4	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid fat	Salmonella enterica diarizonae	1,8	-	-	-	-	/	Α	+	+	Р	PD	+	+	Р	PD
Liquid fat	Salmonella enterica salamae	3,6	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid fat	Salmonella	1,0	-	-	-	-	/	Α	+	+	Р	PD	+	+	Р	PD
Liquid fat	<i>Salmonella</i> bongori	3,8	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid fat	Salmonella enterica arizonae	3,8	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Dry dog kibble	Salmonella enterica houtenae	3,4	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Dry dog kibble	Salmonella enterica	4,0	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Dry dog kibble	diarizonae Salmonella enterica	1,2	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Dry dog kibble	salamae Salmonella enterica Poona	3,0	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	P	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	P	PA
Dry dog kibble	Salmonella bongori	3,8	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Dry dog kibble	Salmonella enterica arizonae	3,8	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Dog treats	Salmonella enterica houtenae	1,4	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	-	+	A (FN)	ND	-	-	Α	ND
Dog treats	Salmonella enterica diarizonae	4,8	+	+	-	-	Salmonella spp	Р	-	-	А	ND	-	-	Α	ND
Dog treats	Salmonella enterica salamae	1,2	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	-	A (FP)	ND (PP)	-	-	Α	ND
Dog treats	Salmonella enterica enterica Poona	3,0	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Dog treats	<i>Salmonella</i> bongori	2,4	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	-	-	Α	ND	+	+	Р	PA
Dog treats	Salmonella enterica arizonae	2,6	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid digest (pH=2,9)	Salmonella enterica arizonae	5,0	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid digest (pH=2,9)	Salmonella enterica diarizonae	4,8	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid digest (pH=2,9)	Salmonella enterica houtenae	2,8	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid digest (pH=2,9)	Salmonella bongori	5,0	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid digest (pH=2,9)	Salmonella enterica enterica Poona	2,8	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA
Liquid digest (pH=2,9)	Salmonella enterica salamae	4,2	+	+	+	+	Salmonella spp	Р	+	+	Р	PA	+	+	Р	PA

Table 1: Results of the sensitivity study of both methods. A: Salmonella not detected, P: Salmonella detected, FN: false negative result, FP: false positive result, PA: positive agreement, NA: negative agreement, ND: negative deviation, PD: positive deviation, PP: presumptive positive before confirmation.

RLOD study

Methods

This study was performed on 4 challenging pet food matrices with 4 strains from the sensitivity study.

The design of the RLOD study consists of analyzing 30 samples per food type:

5 controls, 20 contaminated at mild/low level allowing fractional positive results (0.4 to 1.2 CFU) and 5 contaminated at higher level (1.8 to 3.2 CFU).

Results

 $RLOD_{50}$ values (LOD_{50} alternative method / LOD_{50} reference method) ranged from 0.749 to 2.307

- Double buffered peptone water with extra buffering capacity was used to neutralize liquid digest low pH to avoid FN results.
- The performance of the methods is equivalent according to ISO 16140-2 standard (< 2.5).

Name	RLOD50	RLODL	RLODU	AL		
Dog treats	1,933	0,867	4,313			
Liquid fat	2,307	0,956	5,562	2 5		
Dog treats	1,854	0,695	4,947	2,5		
Liquid digest	0,749	0,363	1,544			

Table 2: RLOD values of the 4 categories. RLOD: relative level of detection, RLODU: upper limit of the 95% confidence interval, RLODL: lower limit of the 95% confidence interval.

Conclusion

The alternative method using IMS + PCR technology allowed *Salmonella* rapid detection (18 h) of large sample sizes (375 g) from challenging pet food items with equivalent performance to the reference method and sample size.

Learn more on SigmaAldrich.com/GDS

Request more information SigmaAldrich.com/assurance-gds-info

To place an order or receive technical assistance

In Europe, please call Customer Service:

France: 0825 045 645 Spain: 901 516 645 Option 1
Germany: 069 86798021 Switzerland: 0848 645 645
Italy: 848 845 645 United Kingdom: 0870 900 4645

For other countries across Europe, please call: +44 (0) 115 943 0840

Or visit: SigmaAldrich.com/offices

For Technical Service visit: SigmaAldrich.com/techservice

SigmaAldrich.com

We have built a unique collection of life science brands with unrivalled experience in supporting your scientific advancements.

Millipore. Sigma-Aldrich. Supelco. Milli-Q. SAFC. BioReliance.

Merck KGaA Frankfurter Strasse 250 64293 Darmstadt, Germany

